J.D. POWER

Press Release

High Satisfaction Scores May Boost Financing Portfolios By as Much as 6 Million RMB Per Dealer Annually, J.D. Power Finds

<u>Toyota Motor Finance Ranks Highest in Retail Credit Segment:</u> <u>Shanghai Automotive Group Finance Ranks Highest in Floor Planning Segment</u>

Shanghai: 25 May 2016— Improving dealer satisfaction could generate as much as 6 million RMB in additional financing per dealer annually, according to the J.D. Power 2016 China Dealer Financing Satisfaction (DFS) StudySM released today.

The study, now in its third year, examines dealer satisfaction with finance providers in two segments: retail credit and floor planning. In the retail credit segment, satisfaction is measured in three factors: application/ approval process; finance provider offerings/ products; and sales representative relationship. In the floor planning segment, satisfaction is measured in four factors: finance provider credit line; floor plan portfolio management; floor plan support; and sales representative relationship.

High levels of dealer satisfaction are not only critical indicators of dealer profitability, but also finance providers' market share. The study finds 51% of dealers say they "definitely will" increase their portfolio in the next 12 months with providers that earn high satisfaction scores, while only 27% of dealers will do the same with providers with low satisfaction scores. Accordingly, finance providers with high satisfaction scores could gain as much as 6 million RMB annually in additional portfolio per dealer.

"Our study shows significant disparity in satisfaction scores among different segments of financial service companies," said **Geoff Broderick, vice president and general manager, Asia Pacific Operations, J.D. Power**. "Dealers are more willing to work with financial providers with high satisfaction scores. China's auto finance market is growing more and more mature. For example, the operational efficiency level in China is approaching the U.S. standard. This leads to service convergence and fierce competition. Understanding the most critical factors and achieving the key performance indicators are essential for them to improve satisfaction."

KEY FINDINGS

- **Dealer Satisfaction with Finance Providers Improves:** Overall satisfaction with retail credit providers increases to 809 (on a 1,000-point scale) in 2016, compared with 794 in 2015, while overall satisfaction with floor planning providers increases to 817 from 804. Among the 22 providers in the retail credit segment, only two have lower satisfaction scores than last year, while the scores of five of 19 providers in the floor planning segment have declined.
- **Operational Efficiency Becomes the Key Differentiator in the Retail Credit Segment:** The single factor driving the highest degree of customer satisfaction with auto financing companies is the application/approval process (38%). Nearly two-thirds (62%) of dealers say captives release funds within 2 days after customers submit all materials (up from 49% in 2015), whereas in the U.S.

it is 84%.¹ The study indicates that 38% of the banks and 44% credit card companies release funds within 2 days.

• **Disparity Emerges in Different Areas:** In the retail credit segment, the gap between captives and banks is 26 index points in the east region of China and 102 index points in the west. In the floor planning segment, the gap is higher for captives than for banks in larger-tier cities. However, in smaller-tier cities, satisfaction scores of banks are significantly higher than those of captives.

Segment Rankings

Toyota Motor Finance (China) Co., Ltd. ranks highest in the retail credit segment (captives and banks) with a score of 863. **GMAC-SAIC Automotive Finance Co., Ltd.** ranks second (846) and **Shanghai Automotive Group Finance Co., Ltd.** ranks third (844).

Merchants Bank Credit Card ranks highest in the retail credit segment (credit cards) with a score of 810. **China Construction Bank Credit Card** ranks second (788).

In the floor planning segment, **Shanghai Automotive Group Finance Co., Ltd.** ranks highest with a score of 866. **GMAC-SAIC Automotive Finance Co., Ltd.** ranks second (859) and **Toyota Motor Finance (China) Co., Ltd.** ranks third (846).

The study is based on responses from 2,061 dealers, representing 48 vehicle brands across 78 cities throughout China, who were surveyed on their business performance between January 2016 and April 2016.

Media Relations Contacts

Michelle Meng; J.D. Power; Beijing, China; +86 01 6569 2702; yutian.meng@jdpa.com John Tews; J.D. Power; Troy, Michigan USA; 001 248 680 6218; john.tews@jdpa.com

About J.D. Power Asia Pacific

J.D. Power has offices in Tokyo, Singapore, Beijing, Shanghai, Malaysia and Bangkok that conduct customer satisfaction research and provide consulting services in the automotive, information technology and finance industries in the Asia Pacific region. Together, the six offices bring the language of customer satisfaction to consumers and businesses in Australia, China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Philippines, Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam. Information regarding J.D. Power and its products can be accessed through the Internet at <u>asean-oceania.jdpower.com</u>.

About J.D. Power and Advertising/Promotional Rules www.jdpower.com/about-us/press-release-info

(Page 2 of 2) Note: Three charts follow

¹ Source: J.D. Power 2015 U.S. Dealer Financing Satisfaction StudySM

	650 700 750 800 850 900 950	JDPower.com Power Circle Ratings [™] for dealers:
Toyota Motor Finance (China) Co., Ltd.	863	•••••
GMAC-SAIC Automotive Finance Co., Ltd.	846	••••
Shanghai Automotive Group Finance Co., Ltd.	844	••••
Ford Automotive Finance (China) Limited	839	••••
First AutoMobile Finance Co., Ltd.	836	•••••
Dongfeng Peugeot Citroen Auto Finance Co., Ltd.	828	••••
Volkswagen Finance China Co., Ltd.	823	••••
Ping An Bank	822	•••••
Equipment Finance Company	820	•••••
GAC-SOFINCO Automobile Finance Co., Ltd.	819	•••••
Dongfeng Motor Finance Co., Ltd.	815	••••
Retail Credit Total-Captive and Bank	814	•••••
Source: J.D. Power 2016 China Dealer Fin	ncing Satisfaction (DFS) Study sM	Power Circle Ratings Legend Among the best Better than most About average The rest

J.D. Power 2016 China Dealer Financing Satisfaction (DFS) Studysm

Retail Credit Segment (Captives and Banks)

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 China Dealer Financing Satisfaction (DFS) Study^s



Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 China Dealer Financing Satisfaction (DFS) Study^s

		or Pla		-	-			
	(Based on a 1,000-point scale)							JDPower.com
	650	700	750	800	850	900	950	Power Circle Ratings [™] for dealers:
Shanghai Automotive Group Finance Co., Ltd.						866		•••••
GMAC-SAIC Automotive Finance Co., Ltd.					•••••			
Toyota Motor Finance (China) Co., Ltd.		846						••••
Dongfeng Peugeot Citroen Auto Finance Co., Ltd.					•••••			
Ford Automotive Finance(China) Limited		841						•••••
Ping An Bank		839						••••
Volkswagen Finance China Co., Ltd.					822			•••••
GAC-SOFINCO Automobile Finance Co., LTD.		821						••••
Floor Planning Total-Captive and Bank					817			••••
Source: J.D. Power 2016 China Dealer Find	ancing	g Satisfa	ction (D	PFS) Stu	dy sM			Power Circle Ratings Legend Among the best Better than most About average The rest

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.